RCONA July 2019 unofficial meeting notes

Here is the packet I handed out. I got lot of sympathy. Not much constructive feedback, someone asked what outcome I wanted and I confessed that I didn't really have one. JMC is not going to re-name their development. But they won't be Sierra Vista Neighborhood Association. On my way home I fantasized about getting JMC to build a Sierra Vista retaining wall for us. LOL, when pigs fly.

Other news:

Application to be filled out for <u>National Neighborhood Day</u> Sept 15, form due 8/9. I have the form if it hasn't already been submitted.

Our next meeting? Aug 7th? Where? Gooseport? New pub downtown in Sierra College bldg. Robert Sanchez and Jim Kidd want to attend, provide info on things like, how do we get on the agenda?

<u>Fireworks Booth.</u> We had 14 volunteer hours (mostly Krissy) and earned \$319. Money will be deposited in August when TNT check clears. Also, Krissy win, of the \$4000 in pre-sales, a 50/50 fundraiser, Roseville High School Band sold \$1500, earning \$750, as the top fundraising group. \$400 in coupons weren't redeemed, so just donations! Way to go RHS Band & Krissy!

New RCONA website rolled out today. Eventually NA's will get a username & pw to upload and post NA events and news.

<u>Johnson Pool</u> has just had a huge renovation and we are encouraged to check it out on Aug 6, national night out event.

Glenda Hay-Atwood

Attachment:

The identity Theft of Sierra Vista -Timeline

1906 – Placer County Recorder-Grant Deed document date of Neighborhood "SIERRA VISTA PARK". See attached a collage of multiple Grant Deeds from the neighborhood.

1933 – The City Park on Sierra Boulevard, originally "Sierra Vista Park," was renamed "Woodbridge Park" in memory of Dr. Bradford Woodbridge, Board of Trustee. See attached City Archive document.

1953- Sierra View Country Club was opened. View being the Spanish translation of Vista.

Jan 14, 2010 – Roseville Planning Commission-Open Public Meeting on Development of SIERRA VISTA SPECIFIC PLAN development in West Roseville. Sierra Vista resident Glenda Hay attended and objected to the duplicated use of SIERRA VISTA as a neighborhood identifier. A staff member followed up with Glenda Hay and assured her that this was a developer chosen name and no actual neighborhood would be called this. The Attached Agenda from January 28, 2010 includes minutes of this meeting and reflect Glenda's attendance and objection.

Aug. 4, 2010 "SIERRA VISTA" resident Glenda Hay submitted an Opinion Letter to the Press Tribune newspaper.

Dec 21, 2011- "SIERRA VISTA" resident James Dieckman submitted an Opinion Letter to the Press Tribune newspaper.

2019 -JMC begins development of "The Villages of Sierra Vista." The community entrance is flanked by Sierra Vista retaining walls, and each smaller community, Pinnacle, Monument, etc., is similarly flanked with the community name and SV seals on opposing ends.

[Photos not copied due to technical issues]

Grant Deeds from various residences

Exhibit "A" Legal Description

All that certain real property in the City of Roseville, County of Placer, State of California, described as follows:

Lots 282 and 283, as shown on that certain Parcel Map entitled, "Plat of Sierra Vista Park", filed in the Office of the County Recorder of Placer County, State of California, on October 15, 1906 in Book C, No. 1 of Maps at Page 36.

APN #011-175-019-000

101-45746-CMCD

EXHIBIT "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THE LAND DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SITUATED IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF PLACER, UNINCORPORATED AREA, AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

LOTS 335 AND 336 OF "SIERRA VISTA PARK", AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL MAP FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF PLACER, CALIFORNIA, ON OCTOBER 15, 1906, IN BOOK "C OF MAPS, PAGE 36.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM SAID LOT 336, THE EAST 4 FEET AS DESCRIBED IN DEED TO B.E. BOSTON, RECORDED MARCH 4, 1930 IN BOOK 264 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, AT PAGE 390.

APN:011-171-005

the following described real property in the City of County of PLACER , State of California:

LOT 333 AND 334 SIERRA VISTA PARK, AS PER MAP THEREOF FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF PLACER, STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN BOOK C OF MAPS, MAP NO. 36

APN: 011-171-03 AND 011-171-004



APPROVED 02/11/2010

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING JANUARY 28, 2010 MINUTES

Planning Commissioners Present:

Donald Brewer, Sam Cannon, Robert Dugan, Kim Hoskinson, Audrey Huisking, David Larson

Planning Commissioners Absent:

Gordon Hinkle, Excused

Staff Present:

Paul Richardson, Director, Planning & Redevelopment

Nela Luken, Senior Planner Steve Lindbeck, Project Planner Chris Kraft, Engineering Manager Robert Schmitt, Assistant City Attorney Carmen Bertola, Recording Secretary

WELCOME 7:00 PM

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - Led by Chair Cannon

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Casey Kaminski, Roseville, asked the Commission to support an Amendment to the City's Zoning Code to allow regulated non-profit Cannabis Collectives within the City limits.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Chair Cannon asked if anyone wished to remove any of the items from the Consent Calendar for discussion.

Chair Cannon asked for a motion to approve the CONSENT CALENDAR as listed below:

IV-A. MINUTES OF JANUARY 14, 2010.

MOTION

Commissioner Hoskinson made the motion, which was seconded by Commissioner Huisking, to approve the Consent Calendar as submitted.

The motion passed unanimously.

OLD BUSINESS

V-A. LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT, REZONE, GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT, SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT, AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT AMENDMENT—O'BRIEN ANNEXATION PROJECT (STEP 2) — 2850 WESTSIDE DRIVE AND 4401 WESTPARK DRIVE—FILE# 2009PL-112 (LLA-000062, RZ-000052, GPA-000058, SPA-000039, AND DA-000043). The City requests approval of a Lot Line Adjustment to modify the property lines of three properties (two City-owned and one privately owned parcel) to create more developable sites with improved access. As it relates to the PL Roseville property, the following entitlements are requested: a Rezone to change the zoning from Light Industrial Special Area (M1/SA) to General Commercial (GC) and Light Industrial (LI); a Development Agreement Amendment to update references in the Development Agreement related to zoning and land use and to modify the agreement as needed to accommodate the property line adjustments; and a Specific Plan Amendment which will bring the O'Brien property into the West

property into the West Roseville Specific Plan Area and will allow for updates to the specific plan document as it relates to mapping and zoning and land use references. Project Applicant: City of Roseville. Property Owner: City of Roseville (2850 Westside Drive) and PL Roseville, Greg Van Dam (4401 Westpark Drive) (Stewart) THIS ITEM IS CONTINUED OFF CALENDAR.

V-B. ANNEXATION, GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT, SPECIFIC PLAN, ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT — SIERRA VISTA SPECIFIC PLAN — 6810 FIDDYMENT ROAD — FILE #2007PL-044 (ANN-000002, GPA-000034, SPA-00024, RZ-000037 & DA-000029). The applicant requests approval of an amendment to the City's Sphere of Influence boundary of approximately 373 acres and an Annexation of approximately 2,064 acres of vacant land generally located west of Fiddyment Rd. and north of Baseline Rd. The applicant also requests a General Plan Amendment and adoption of a new Specific Plan to establish residential, commercial, parks, open space, and public land use designations; a Zoning Ordinance Amendment to establish development standards for each parcel; and to amend the City's RS Development Standards, and Development Agreements between the City and each of the six property owners to provide the infrastructure needed to support the proposed development. The applicant also requests that the Commission forward a recommendation to the City Council that they certify the EIR.

This Item is a continuation of the public hearing on the Sierra Vista Specific Plan begun at the Planning Commission meeting of December 10, 2009, and followed-up on January 14, 2010. The focus of this meeting will be a discussion of the SVSP Design Guidelines. Owner/Applicant: Mourier Investment, LLC; AKT Investments, Inc.; DF Properties, Inc.; Westpark Associates; and CGB Investments. (Luken, Pease, Lindbeck)

Project Planner, Steve Lindbeck, presented the staff report, as outlined below, and responded to questions from the Commission.

Review of Sierra Vista Specific Plan Public Hearings, this is the 7th Public Hearing since November.

SVSP Design Guidelines

- Supplement City's Community Design Guidelines
- · SVSP physical form & visual character
- Village Node District
- Residential subdivision design
- Concept plans for commercial & public sites
 - Facing Open Space & Parks Design Concepts with Alternative Open Space Edges

Staff requests consensus from the Planning Commission on the Design Guidelines, no formal action to be taken at this meeting and item V-B. SVSP is to be continued off-calendar.

Commission discussion:

- Water efficient landscape ordinance will apply to all aspects of the plan;
- Recycled water will be used to take care of all public areas in the SVSP;
- Addition of a Community Garden is very desirable;
- Responsibility for maintenance and care of landscape on major corridors and smaller residential streets;
- Fire access required into open spaces and through fencing.

Chair Cannon opened the public hearing and invited comments from the applicant and/or audience.

Marcus Lo Duca, Lo Duca & Avdis LLP, Roseville, representing the Sierra Vista Property Owners (applicant), addressed the Commission and responded to questions. He stated that he had received a copy of the staff report and was in agreement with staff's recommendations.

The following members of the public addressed the Commission

Glenda Hay, Resident, was concerned that the Sierra Vista Specific Plan name is duplicated and currently is
in use by a neighborhood in Roseville over 100 years old. (Staff will follow-up with Applicant.)

 Jonathan Woster, Resident, concerned with starting a new specific plan when the current Westplan is not finished. Concerned that if the SVSP moves forward, it will delay the construction of the schools promised in the Westplan.

There was discussion on the following:

- · Review of future timeline for SVSP development comparing it with WRSP development;
- Resident's concern over excess buildout and making sure neighborhoods receive promised amenities and explanation of role of adopting specific plans rather than piecemeal with individual subdivisions.

Chair Cannon temporarily closed the public hearing and asked for a motion to continue this item off-calendar.

MOTION

Commissioner Hoskinson made the motion, which was seconded by Commissioner Huisking, to continue Item V-B, Sierra Vista Specific Plan, off-calendar.

The voting was unanimous.

REPORTS/COMMENTS/COMMISSION/STAFF

A. REPORTS FROM PLANNER

- Report from City Council: Approved a 5-year lease agreement with property owner, Richard Ryan, to construct a surface parking lot in Historic Old Town by this summer.
- JC Penny building remodel update;
- . Update on Kobra Building across from Civic Center. The city is not involved with the building;
- New Maidu Interpretive center opens next month;
- · Review of Riverside improvements currently under construction.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Cannon asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting.

MOTION

Commissioner Dugan made the motion, which was seconded by Commissioner Huisking, to adjourn to the meeting of February 11, 2010. The motion passed unanimously at 8:02 PM.

MARKETPLACE

CAREERS

AUTOS

CLASSIFIEDS

Foreclosure Auction
Forclosure Auction Bid Service
Sacramento, Placer, Yolo, El Dorado



Ade by Google Sunny, 87° Complete Forecast

Sports Opinion Living Obi

ROSEVILLESTRESS

Living Obituaries Pics & Vids Calendar Contact us

SHARE #901

Change Location: Roseville Log In Sign Up Learn More

elltvesection

Post your stories, blogs, photos, videos and events

Pix Blogs Vids

Users

The International Association of Independent Recording Artists, IAIRA, recognizes and ...



Dick and Marilyn ... Dick and Marilyn ...
Dick and Marilyn Knox, Roseville residents for 33 years, recently celebrated their 50th ...

South Placer ...

An informative Roundtable entitled, "Having the Philanthropic Conversation with

More Stories »

RATE THIS

Room for two Sierra Vistas in Roseville?

Reader Input

Two Sierra Vista neighborhoods in one town?

In January of this year I read a notice in the PT of a planning commission meeting to discuss the Sierra Vista Specific Plan and wondered just what the planning commission was planning for my 100-plus-year-old neighborhood.

 $I\ read\ on\ to\ discover\ there\ are\ plans\ to\ develop\ a\ new\ neighborhood\ off\ Fiddyment\ Road\ and\ name\ it\ "Sierra\ Vista"\ even\ though\ my\ neighborhood\ was\ already\ named\ Sierra\ Vista.$

Now, months later I still see that the city is going ahead with having a new Sierra Vista and an old Sierra Vista — two communities

At the meeting the developer commented that they really liked the name, and my response was that we liked it too and had already been using it for a century so come up with something original. We already have a Sierra View Country Club and dibs on many "Sierra" sort of street names including Sierra, Yosemite, Shasta, Alta Vista, Manzanita, Tahoe, Sequoia, Lassen and Mariposa.

Am I the only one concerned about this? I would love to hear from my Sierra Vista neighbors and members of the Sierra View Country Club who want to protect our community's name.

Glenda Hay, Roseville

(becent Not registered? Click here E-mail this Print this

Post a comment

You must be logged in to post a comment. click here to log in.

Selling Your Home?

If you are, see what I have to offer compared to other brokers

FREE RECORDED INFO AT (800) 525-7203 EXT. 1051

Ed has been selling Real Estate full time since 1989 and has SOLD nearly 1,000 homes!

NED SINKS Ed Kittle

Contact Rick at rick@rtconst.com

Contents of this site are all Copyright © 2010, Gold Country Media. All rights reserved. Powered By: Creative Circle Advertising Solutions, Inc.

Privacy Policy Terms of Service

sky trash Billboards are READER INPUT

and brightly-lit freeway signs. trumping citizen rights and needs to travel those routes without being bombarded by dangerously distracting, glitzy, signs. Money and greed are install three massive digital Highway 65 should speak out against Rocklin's plans Taxpayers fund roadways for

driving situations. lar entities, hurt small busionly benefit multi-million dolhuge advertising signs that wil opportunistic, highly-priced, transportation needs, not for nesses, and create hazardous

yeard of the greatest car deals of the before or immediately after. How many blipping lights and often cannot recall anything Who needs another reminder much we can win at gambling? signs do we need to tell us how involved in tragic accidents sive, but as many know, those crashes. Studies are inconclutions can cause destruction, injury, and death via freeway Even two-second distrac-

CONTACT US

General Info, 916-786-8746, pteditor@goldcountrymedia.com www.rosevillept.com www.granitebaypt.com 188 Cirby Way, Roseville, California 95678

Speak up now. Tell the Rock-

Anyone who travels I-80

three of these obnoxious, disother entitlements to kill all zoning changes, and all the the General Plan Amendments lin City Council to vote no on

Fax, 916-786-0332, 916-783-1183

Circulation director, Kelly R. Leibold, 916-774-7900, kellyl@goldcountrymedia.com

iness manager, Erin Mezzetti, 916-774-7948, emezzetti@goldcountrymedia.com

U.S.P.S No. 386-980

Circulation department, 916-774-7900 or 1-800-927-7355

R**etail sales manager,** Suzanne Stevenson, 916-774-7921, suzannes@goldcountrymedia.com

Sports editor, Bill Poindexter, 916-774-7957, billp@goldcountrymedia.com

ditor, Michelle Carl, 916-774-7955, michellec@goldcountrymedia.com **ieneral manager, Jim Easterly, 530-852-0224, Jime@goldcountrymedia.com**

LETYOUR VOICE BE

going. We want to pub-lish your letters, but they must include your first and last name, city you live in, a daytime phone number, and be limited countrymedia.com to 250 words or less, E-mail: pteditor@gold Keep the conversation

freeways free of sky trash. Vegas. Keep Placer County's

JAKE O'ROURKE, Loomis

Neighborhood name taken

listened or has heard the concern. There have been numer-Sierra Vista. One of these has been named on the western edge of the city annexing large developments une about the city of Roseville ous articles in the Press Tribbefore, but clearly no one has This issue has surfaced

did not do their homework and the city allowed it. In reality the Sierra Vista Neighborhood has been in the city of Roseville for over 100 years. It was one of the first neighborhoods in the city Apparently the developers

> residences. ous businesses, and over 500 Roseville High School, nµmer-

ROSEVILLEPT.COM

this is surprising; that the city missed it is appalling and inex-cusable. I do not know what before the confusion becomes but it should be done soon must be done to correct this, That the developers missed

JAMES DIECKMAN, Roseville

Converter thefts troubling

precious metals? nothing to curb the theft of Why is it that the state has

is going to cost me \$500 to a catalytic converter theft. This (Recently) I was the victim of

sure that the people who income. thieves? That would be cutting off their constant supply of why would they report the exactly who the thieves are, but accept the stolen goods know amount of these thefts. I am ership this would curb a great these in without proof of ownfler/repair shop from turning but an auto recycler or mufnew law prohibiting anyone If the state would enact a

thing, and rightly so, how do Local police don't do any-